

Population genetic evaluation of weaning weight of different beef cattle breeds

Különböz húsarhafajták választási súlyának populációgenetikai értékelése

Ferenc SZABÓ^{1(*)}, Eszter SZABÓ² and Szabolcs BENE³

¹University of West Hungary, 9200 Mosonmagyaróvár, Vár 2. Hungary, phone +36 3 9275577
(*) corresponding, szf@mtk.nyme.hu

²University of Kaposvár, Hungary

³University of Pannonia, Keszthely, Hungary

ABSTRACT

Population genetic analysis was performed on data from 42,695 purebred beef calves from seven breeds born from 1981 to 2005 in Hungary. Animal model were used for the estimations. Sire, herd, age of dam at calving, birth year, season of birth and sex of calf had significant effects on 205-day calf weaning weight for each breed. Direct heritability value estimates were 0.18 to 0.61, the maternal heritability values from 0.07 to 0.38, and the total heritability values were between 0.09 and 0.35. The direct-maternal genetic correlations were high and negative for all the breeds varying from -0.63 to -0.88.

Keywords: seven breeds, direct-, maternal-, total heritability, direct-maternal genetic correlations

ÖSZEFoglalás

A munka során hét fajtába tartozó, 1981 és 2005 között született, összesen 42 659 fajtatizta borjú választási eredményét értékeltük Magyarországon. A populációgenetikai elemzés Animal Modellel történt. Az tapasztaltuk, hogy az apa, a tenyészet, az anya borjazás kori életkora, a születési év, a születési évszak és a borjú ivara szignifikánsan befolyásolta a 205-napos választási súlyt. E teljesítménymutató direkt örökölhet sége (h^2d) 0,18 és 0,61 között, anyai örökölhet sége (h^2m) 0,07 és 0,38 között, teljes örökölhet sége (h^2T) 0,09 és 0,35 között változott. A korreláció a direkt és anyai hatás között szoros, negatív volt és -0,63 - -0,88 között alakult fajtától függ en.

Kulcsszavak: hét fajta, direkt-, anyai-, teljes örökölhet ség-, direk-anyai genetikai korreláció

INTRODUCTION

Weaning weight in beef cattle is a trait of major economic importance because the weaned calf is the end product and total output of the cow-calf unit. There are numerous studies that have examined weaning weight of different beef breeds and the influence of environmental and genetic effects (*Minyard and Dinkel, 1965; Sellers et al., 1970; Pell and Thayne, 1978; Gregory et al., 1979; Meyer, 1994; Cundiff et al., 1998; Szabó et al., 2006; Vergara et al., 2009*).

While a number of these authors have reported heritability values for weaning weight including data for the maternal effect, according to *Meyer (1994) and Vergara et al., (2009)* further research is required to separate the direct and maternal genetic components for better estimation of genetic values in beef cattle populations.

The primary aim of this study, using data from pure bred cattle populations in Hungary, was to evaluate environmental and genetic effects on weaning weight. Also, direct and maternal heritability, together with direct-maternal genetic correlations, were estimated.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Population genetic analysis was performed on data from 42,695 purebred beef calves from seven breeds, Hungarian Grey, Limousin, Hereford, Angus, Charolais, Hungarian Simmental and Blonde d' Aquitaine, born between 1981 and 2005 in Hungary. Performance and complete pedigree data were recorded by the breed associations. The number of calf records can be seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of data used for genetic evaluation

| | Breed | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | HG | HS | AA | HE | CH | BD | LI |
| Period of records | 1984-2004 | 1981-2003 | 1989-2002 | 1990-2002 | 1990-2005 | 1993-2005 | 1992-2005 |
| Number of herds | 9 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 3 |
| of calves | 5720 | 7032 | 2451 | 5109 | 13087 | 3250 | 6046 |
| of sires | 182 | 232 | 63 | 119 | 146 | 27 | 55 |
| of dams | 2638 | 2057 | 933 | 1954 | 6168 | 1173 | 1838 |
| of paternal grand sire | 35 | 17 | 13 | 18 | 44 | 3 | 8 |
| of maternal grand sires of paternal grand dams | 104 | 114 | 19 | 55 | 101 | 20 | 34 |
| of maternal grand dams | 50 | 24 | 31 | 32 | 69 | 4 | 16 |
| of maternal grand dams | 702 | | 119 | 234 | 990 | 429 | 558 |

HG = Hungarian Grey; HS = Hungarian Simmental; AA = Angus; HE = Hereford; CH = Charolais; BD = Blonde d'Aquitaine; LI = Limousin

The beef herds from which the data originated were all on different farms. Cows were mated using both artificial insemination and natural service to produce straightbred calves. The majority (70-75 %) of calvings took place in spring, 10-15 % of calvings were in winter, 5-10 % were in summer, and 4-8 % were in autumn. The calves remained with their dams on pasture, and were creep-fed corn and wheat (30-50 kg/calf) for the last two months of the nursing period. The calves were weighed on the day of birth and at weaning. Weaning weights and corresponding ages were recorded between 150 and 240 days age. Calf weaning weight was adjusted to 205 days of age by linear interpolation from birth weight, weaning weight and age.

The 205-day weaning weights were analysed using animal model. The software used to evaluate the environmental and genetic effects, and to estimate genetic parameters and breeding values was Harvey's (1990) *Least Squares Maximum Likelihood*, and *Derivative Free Restricted Maximum Likelihood (DFREML) Computer Program* (Meyer, 1998), and the *Multirate Derivative Free Restricted Maximum Likelihood (MTDFREML)* program developed by Boldman *et al.* (1993).

The animal model was:

$$= X_b + Z_u + W_m + S_{pe} + e$$

where:

y = vector of observation (trait); b = vector of fixed effects (herd, age of dam at calving, year, season and sex); u = vector of random effect (animal); m = vector of maternal genetic effect; pe = vector of maternal permanent environmental effect; e = vector of random residual effect; X = matrix of fixed effects; Z = matrix of random effects; W = matrix of maternal genetic effect; S = matrix of maternal permanent environmental effect

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sire, herd (except for Blonde d'Aquitaine), age of dam at calving, birth year, season and sex of calf all had significant effects ($P < 0.05$) on 205-day weaning weight of calves in each breed (Table 2). These findings correspond to the results of others reported in the literature (Minyard and Dinkel, 1965; Sellers *et al.*, 1970; Pell and Thayne, 1978; Gregory *et al.*, 1979; Cundiff *et al.*, 1998; Szabó *et al.*, 2006) who also found significant effects of one or more of the factors mentioned on weaning performance.

The breed mean 205-day weaning weight varied from 191 to 242 kg, with standard deviations of 30 to 41 kg. The coefficient of variation values were below 20% for all breeds.

The variance components and heritability value estimates obtained from the animal model are shown in Table 3.

The direct breed heritability (h^2_d) values ranged 0.18-0.61 across the breeds. These values are slightly higher than those reported by Meyer (1994). Also, they are higher than obtained by Splan *et al.* (2002) who found value 0.14. Maternal heritability value (h^2_m) estimates are lower (0.07-0.38) than the direct heritability, and are similar to the finding of Mohuiddin (1993) who reported that the maternal

heritability value of weaning weight tended to be lower than the direct heritability value. This result indicates a greater genetic influence of the calf than its dam for the trait. The opposite result was found by *Splan et al. (2002)* whose estimate of maternal heritability was slightly greater (0.19) than the estimate for direct heritability (0.14). The total heritability values (h^2_T) were between 0.09 and 0.35 which are similar to the values obtained by *Meyer (1994)* and *Vergara et al. (2009)*.

Table 2. Significance of the effects of various factors on 205-day weight of calves

| Source of variance | Breed | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|------|--------------|------|------|------|------|
| | HG | HS | AA | HE | CH | BD | LI |
| Sire | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** |
| Herd | **** | ** | ¹ | ** | **** | NS | **** |
| Age of cows at calving | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** |
| Birth year | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** |
| Birth season | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** |
| Sex | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** | **** |

HG = Hungarian Grey; HS = Hungarian Simmental; AA = Angus; HE = Hereford; CH = Charolais; BD = Blonde d'Aquitaine; LI = Limousin

** = P<0.05; *** = P<0.01; **** = P<0.001

¹Only one herd

The direct-maternal genetic correlations (r_{dm}) were high (-0,52 to -0,88) and negative for all breeds. Similar results have been reported by *Meyer (1992, 1997)* and by *Koots et al. (1999)* who also found strong negative direct-maternal genetic correlations. Several estimates of direct-maternal correlation reported by *Mohuidin (1993)* were negative, although they ranged from -0,78 to 0.25. Also, similarly negative, but a somewhat lower correlations were reported by *Splan et al. (2002)* ($r_{dm} = -0.18$) and *Vergara et al. (2009)*, while *Sullivan et al. (1999)* assumed a zero correlation.

A difference in the genetic correlation between direct and maternal components of gain from birth to weaning can have several practical consequences. Negative estimates of direct-maternal genetic covariance have been reported for many beef cattle breeds are now included in national genetic evaluations of many breed associations (*Splan et al., 2002*).

Table 3. Estimated variance and covariance components and heritability values of 205-day weight of calves

| Genetic parameters | Breed | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | HG | HS | AA | HE | CH | BD | LI |
| Overall mean value, kg | 191 | 236 | 212 | 204 | 227 | 242 | 203 |
| σ_p^2 phenotypic variance | 631 | 1250 | 980 | 1189 | 1515 | 1265 | 871 |
| σ_d^2 additive direct genetic variance | 384 | 461 | 173 | 224 | 892 | 697 | 302 |
| σ_e^2 residual variance | 262 | 768 | 755 | 875 | 626 | 434 | 533 |
| σ_{pe}^2 maternal permanent environmental effect | 42 | 69 | 0 | 80 | 30 | 30 | 72 |
| e^2 the ratio of the residual variance to the phenotypic Variance | 0.41 | 0.61 | 0.77 | 0.74 | 0.41 | 0.34 | 0.55 |
| σ_m^2 maternal genetic variance | 162 | 89 | 128 | 130 | 569 | 410 | 229 |
| σ_{dm} direct-maternal genetic covariance | -219 | -137 | -77 | -120 | -602 | -307 | -165 |
| c^2 the ratio of the maternal permanent environmental variance to the phenotypic Variance | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.07 |
| h_d^2 direct heritability | 0.61 | 0.37 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 0.59 | 0.55 | 0.31 |
| h_m^2 maternal heritability | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.11 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 0.24 |
| $h_m^2+c^2$ | 0.33 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.18 | 0.40 | 0.32 | 0.31 |
| h_T^2 total heritability | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.19 |
| r_{dm} direct-maternal genetic correlation | -0.88 | -0.68 | -0.52 | -0.70 | -0.84 | -0.57 | -0.63 |

HG = Hungarian Grey; HS = Hungarian Simmental; AA = Angus;
 HE = Hereford; CH = Charolais; BD = Blonde d'Aquitaine;
 LI = Limousin

CONCLUSION

Variance components, heritability values, and direct-maternal genetic correlations of 205-day weaning weight were similar for purebred populations of different beef cattle breeds in Hungary, generally corresponding to the results reported by several authors.

These estimates can help to improve the genetic evaluation programmes of different beef cattle breeds in the country.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to the TÁMOP-4.2.2A-11/1/KONV-2012-0013 project for funding this work.

REFERENCES

- Amer, P.R., Kemp, R.A. and Smith, C. (1992). Genetic differences among the predominant beef cattle breeds in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* 72, 759-771.
- Cundiff, L.V., Gregory, K.E. and Long, C.R. (1975). Genetic variation among and within herds of Angus and Hereford cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* 41, 1270-1278.
- Cundiff, L.V. and Van Vleck, L.D. (1995). Across breed considerations in prediction of genetic values. *Proceedings of the 5th Genetic Prediction Workshop. Beef Improvement Federation, Kansas City*, 120-132.
- Cundiff, L.V., Gregory, K.E. and Koch, R.M. (1998). Germplasm evaluation in beef cattle-cycle IV: birth and weaning traits. *Journal of Animal Science* 76, 3525-3535.
- Gregory, K.E., Smith, G.M., Cundiff, L.V., Koch, R.M., and Laster, D.B.(1979). Characterization of biological types of cattle-cycle III. I. Birth and weaning traits. *Journal of Animal Science* 48, 271-279.
- Koots, K.R., Gibson, J. P., Smith, C. and Wilton, J.W. (1994). Analyses of published genetic parameter estimates for beef production traits. 1. Heritability. *Animal Breeding Abstracts* 62, 309-338.
- Meyer. K. (1992). Variance components due to direct and maternal effects for growth traits of Australian beef cattle. *Livestock Production Science* 31, 179-204.
- Meyer, K., Garrick., M.J. and Donnelly, B.J. (1994). Genetic parameters for milk production of Australian beef cows and weaning weight of their calves. *Journal of Animal Science* 72, 1155-1165.
- Minyard, J.A. and Dinkel, C.A.(1965). Weaning weight of beef calves as affected by age and sex of calf and age of dam. *Journal of Animal Science* 24, 1067-1071.
- Mohuidin, G. (1993). Estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters of some performance traits in beef cattle. *Animal Breeding Abstracts* 61, 495-522.
- Notter, D.R., Cundiff, L.V., Smith, G.M., Laster, D.B. and Gregory, K.E. (1978). Characterization of biological types of cattle. VII. Milk production in young cows and transmitted and maternal effects on preweaning growth of progeny. *Journal of Animal Science* 46, 908-921.
- Pell, E.W. and Thayne. W. (1978). Factors influencing weaning weight and grade of West Virginia beef calves. *Journal of Animal Science* 46, 596-603.
- Rollins,W.C. and Wagon, K.A. (1956). A genetic analysis of weaning weight in a

Szabó and Bene: Population Genetic Evaluation For Weaning Weight Of Different Beef Cattle...

range beef herd operated under optimum and sub-optimum nutritional regimes. *Journal of Animal Science* 15, 125-133.

Sellers, H.I., Willham, R.L. and DeBaca, R.C. (1970). Effect of certain factors on weaning weight of beef calves. *Journal of Animal Science* 31, 5-12.

Smith, G.M., Laster, .D.B. and Gregory, K.E. (1976). Characterization of biological types of cattle. I. Dystocia and preweaning growth. *Journal of Animal Science* 43, 28-36.

Splan, R.K., Cundiff, L.V., Dikeman, .M.E. and Van Vleck, L.D. (2002). Estimates of parameters between direct and maternal genetic effects for weaning weight and direct genetic effects for carcass traits in crossbred cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* 80, 3107-3111.

Sullivan, P.G., Wilton, J.W., Miller, .S.P. and Banks, L. R.(1999). Genetic trends and breed overlap derived from multiple-breed genetic evaluations of beef cattle for growth traits. *Journal of Animal Science* 77, 2019-2027.

Szabó. F., Nagy, L., Dákay, I., Márton, D., Török, M. and Bene, Sz. (2006). Effect of breed, age of dam, birth year, birth season and sex on weaning weight of beef calves. *Livestock Science* 103, 181-185.

Vergara, O.D., Ceron-Munoz, .M. F., Arboleda, E.M., Orozco, Y. and Ossa, G. A.(2009). Direct genetic, maternal genetic, and heterozygosity on weaning weight in a Colombian multibreed beef cattle population. *Journal of Animal Science* 87,516-521.